



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/735,723	12/16/2003	Yoshifumi Abe	P24716	3677
7055	7590	04/19/2007	EXAMINER	
GREENBLUM & BERNSTEIN, P.L.C.			BERTHEAUD, PETER JOHN	
1950 ROLAND CLARKE PLACE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
RESTON, VA 20191			3746	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		04/19/2007	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Notice of this Office communication was sent electronically on the above-indicated "Notification Date" and has a shortened statutory period for reply of 3 MONTHS from 04/19/2007.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

gbpatent@gbpatent.com
pto@gbpatent.com

ED

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/735,723	ABE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Peter J. Bertheaud	3746	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 February 2007.
- 2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 17-34 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-16 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 17-34 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on 16 December 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 - a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.
- 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
- 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

1. This office action is in response to the amendments of 2/9/2007. It is noted that claims 1-16 have been cancelled and claims 17-34 have been added. In making the below rejections and/or objections the examiner has considered and addressed each of the applicant's arguments.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

3. Claim 34 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Claim 34 states that the "refrigerant go-around passage is substantially uniform"; this is not clearly shown in the drawings to the extent that the limitation seems contradictory. In addition, this is compounded by the fact that the limitation is not mentioned within the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 17-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gennami 6,672,101 in view of Jang 6,237,362.

Gennami discloses an electrically driven compressor, comprising a compression mechanism for sucking, compressing and discharging refrigerant (see col. 3, lines 61-67); a reservoir (85) for storing liquid for lubricating sliding portions including the compression mechanism and a housing for containing the compression mechanism and the reservoir (1, 5). Gennami discloses that the compressor comprises an electric motor for driving the compression mechanism, the electric motor (49) being housed in the housing (6). However, Gennami does not show a refrigerant go-around passage, provided in the housing, for introducing the refrigerant discharged from the compression mechanism into the housing via a refrigerant introducing port, making the refrigerant go around an axial line of the compressor and returning the refrigerant to a discharge-port side of the housing via a refrigerant returning port, while separating the liquid from the refrigerant by centrifugation or by centrifugation and collision, wherein a liquid returning port is provided for returning the separated liquid into the housing in a wall of a mid part of the refrigerant go-around passage in such a manner that the liquid returning port has an orientation that has a component in a direction of gravity and that is deviated from a traveling direction of the refrigerant.

Jang teaches an internal oil separator for compressors including a rear end of a compressor housing (1), a suction (11) and discharge port (12), and an oil-separating

chamber (21). Jang also discloses a refrigerant go-around passage (indicated by arrows in Fig. 1), provided in the housing, for introducing the refrigerant discharged from the compression mechanism into the housing via a refrigerant introducing port (13), provided in an upper portion of the housing, making the refrigerant go around an axial line of the compressor and returning the refrigerant to a discharge-port side of the housing via a refrigerant returning port (14) provided in the upper portion of the housing, while separating the liquid from the refrigerant by centrifugation or by centrifugation and collision (see col.13, lines 5-9), wherein a liquid returning port (17) is provided for returning the separated liquid into the housing in a wall of a mid part of the refrigerant go-around passage in such a manner that the liquid returning port has an orientation that has a component in a direction of gravity and that is deviated from a traveling direction of the refrigerant (see orientation of 17 in Fig. 1). Jang discloses that the refrigerant go-around passage is arranged on the same plane, is provided at a discharge-port side end of the housing (see col. 9, lines 2-12), and is constituted by a concave streak formed on a substrate (3) attached to an end wall (see tear drop shaped protrusion on the end of housing 1) of the housing or to the housing and a lid (2) for covering the concave streak (see curved portion of 3), wherein the substrate (3) is attached to the housing together with the lid (see connection of lid 2 with housing in Fig. 6). Jang discloses that each of the refrigerant introducing port, the refrigerant returning port, and the liquid returning port is provided at at least one position in the traveling direction of the refrigerant (see flow arrows in Fig. 1), and that a guide (18) for collecting the refrigerant to direct the collected refrigerant into the refrigerant introducing port (13)

is provided in the refrigerant introducing port (see col. 11, lines 39-42). Jang discloses that a cross-sectional area of the refrigerant go-around passage is substantially uniform (see cross-section shown in Fig. 1). Jang further discloses that the go-around passage would be advantageous because the primarily recovered oil is free from being trailed by the dynamic force of the oil-laden gas refrigerant flowing along the U-shaped passage (indicated by arrows in Fig. 1) within the chamber or from being remixed with the refrigerant.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of invention to modify the compressor assembly of Gennami by specifically modifying the oil separation device, as taught by Jang, in order to remarkably improve the oil separating efficiency of the oil separator (see col. 13, lines 12-19).

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 2/9/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
7. In reference to Applicant's argument that Examiner lacks motivation to combine Gennami in view of Jang: Gennami discloses an electrically driven compressor with an oil separator 80 for separating oil from compressed refrigerant; Jang discloses an oil separator for compressors of refrigeration systems that teaches remarkable improvement in oil separating efficiency (Jang, col. 13, lines 12-19). Thus, motivation for combining the two has been established.

8. In reference to Applicant's argument that the prior art fails to disclose the features of at least claims 21, 22, 24, 28, 29 or 31: please refer to the rejection made under the Jang reference. Every limitation has been addressed with indication of reference numbers or citing of figures. Therefore it is held that the application does not distinguish over the prior art.

Conclusion

9. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter J. Bertheaud whose telephone number is (571) 272-3476. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9am - 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anthony Stashick can be reached on (571) 272-4561. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

PJB

4/10/07


ANTHONY D. STASHICK
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700